UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,771	07/10/2003	Ralf Diener	PF51471-02	9479	
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP					
1300 EYE STREET NW			TRAN LIEN, THUY		
SUITE 1000 W WASHINGTO		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1761		
				·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	SHTN	01/18/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-t
		10/615,771	DIENER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Lien T. Tran	1761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communi IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				•
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		its is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Applicati	ion Papers	•		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12)⊠ a)ĺ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/873,282</u> . ved in this National Stage	e
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/615,771

Art Unit: 1761

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/873282, filed on 6/5/01.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too short; it does not describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist in the determination of consulting the full patent text. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris et al (3930032).

Harris et al disclose method of making porous bakery product such as cake using a leavening agent. The leavening agent comprises a hydrophilic cellulose derivation such as hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, methylcellulose, ethylcellulose. The leavening agent includes sodium bicarbonate. However, other types of leavening agents both of the edible bicarbonate or carbonate type such as ammonium bicarbonate can be use in combination with cellulose ethers. The leavening agent is used in baked product such as cake. The cellulose is present in about 3%. (see columns 3-4, col. 6, col. 8 lines 13-25)

Harris et al disclose all the limitations in the cited claims. Cake is a porous bakery product. At least 500pm includes the amount disclosed in Harris et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et

Application/Control Number: 10/615,771

Art Unit: 1761

Harris et al do not disclose the cellulose in claim 3.

Harris et al teach using cellulose derivative. In absence of showing or criticality or unexpected result, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any known cellulose derivative and the claimed derivative is well known in the art.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al in view of the article on "Leavening Agents".

Harris et al do not disclose the agent is ammonium hydrogen carbonate or the agent consisting of ammonium hydrogen carbonate and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose.

The article on "Leavening agents" discloses that ammonium hydrogen carbonate is a leavening agent and it can be used alone when added to dough or dough liquid.

Above 60 degree C, it decomposes to carbon dioxide, ammonia and water.

Harris et al disclose other known chemical leaveners can be used; thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use ammonium hydrogen carbonate because it is a known chemical leavening agent as shown by the art. When such agent is used, it would have been obvious to use the ammonium alone because the article teaches that it decomposes to carbon dioxide without the need of a leavening acid. Harris et al teach using cellulose derivative. In absence of showing or criticality or unexpected result, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use any known cellulose derivative and the claimed derivative is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,771 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wed-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cano Milton can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 10, 2007

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Group 1700